# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE ENFIELD RESIDENTS' PRIORITY FUND CABINET SUB-COMMITTEE HELD ON THURSDAY, 7 JULY 2011

#### **COUNCILLORS**

PRESENT Christine Hamilton (Cabinet Member for Community Wellbeing

and Public Health) and Chris Bond (Cabinet Member for

Environment)

**ABSENT** Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader)

**OFFICERS:** Alison Trew (Head of Corporate Policy and Performance),

Joanne Stacey (Performance and Information Manager) and Jayne Middleton-Albooye (Principal Solicitor Corporate)

Jacqui Hurst (Secretary)

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Achilleas Georgiou (Deputy Leader).

Councillor Christine Hamilton welcomed those present to the first meeting of the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund Cabinet Sub-Committee.

# 2 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interest.

## 3 URGENT ITEMS

NOTED that the reports listed on the agenda had been circulated in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Amendment Regulations 2002. These arrangements state that agendas and reports should be circulated at least 5 clear days in advance of meetings.

# 4 ENFIELD RESIDENTS' PRIORITY FUND - APPLICATIONS RECEIVED TO 24 JUNE 2011

Councillor Christine Hamilton (Cabinet Member for Community Wellbeing and Public Health) introduced the report of the Chief Executive (No.29) presenting

the applications that had been formally submitted up to 24 June 2011 for the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund.

Alison Trew, Head of Corporate Policy and Performance, presented the report to Members. The following points arose during discussion.

### **NOTED**

- 1. an amendment to section 3 of the report, first sentence to be amended to read: "The Enfield Residents' Priority Fund was recommended to Council for approval by the Cabinet at its meeting on 9 February 2011 and approved at full Council on 2 March 2011";
- 2. that the report provided information for Members to note and further required that a decision was made to approve or reject the funding requested for each proposal. Future reports would also include a progress update on the implementation of approved proposals;
- 3. Members' views were sought on the format and presentation style of the report. Members were content with the current format;
- 4. that there were a total of 7 applications to consider from 4 wards: 1 each from Upper Edmonton, Ponders End and Southbury and 4 from Enfield Lock. All of the applications had been approved by the relevant ward Councillors and had been quality checked by the Performance and Information Team and, Legal Services to ensure that they met the criteria approved by Council and came within the remit of the wellbeing power as set out in section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000;
- 5. the summary of the applications received as detailed in Appendix A to the report;
- 6. that monitoring checks would be put in place to ensure that projects were being undertaken as planned. Funding would be made available on payment of receipts received;
- 7. Members' detailed consideration of each of the applications as follows:
  - (a) Hanlon Centre

Project for Upper Edmonton Ward for the purchase of gym and boxing equipment, instruction, music studio and computer software at a cost of £11,500. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Fairness for All; Growth and Sustainability; Strong Communities; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; and Crime.

(b) Enfield Bangladeshi Welfare Festival

Project for Ponders End ward for an annual community event at a cost of £9,300. The application submitted had bid for £10,000 funding but the cost amounted to £9,300, the funding request now being presented to Members for approval. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Fairness for All; Growth and Sustainability; Strong Communities; Crime; and, Environment.

# (c) Ayley Croft Cycle Parking

Project for Southbury Ward for two accessible secure cycling storage facilities for bikes and prams for Ayley Croft residents at a cost of £12,912. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Fairness for All; Growth and Sustainability; Strong Communities; Crime; and, Environment.

Joanne Stacey advised that a quotation for the work was currently being sought from the Council's Environmental Services officers and the work would also be subject to consultation with residents and Enfield Homes.

# (d) Home Security and Privacy

Project for Enfield Lock Ward for the provision of a wall or fence alongside path behind houses, alley gate, locks and bolts at a cost of £10,000. A quotation for the work was currently being sought from the Council's Environmental Services Officers. The most cost effective option would be pursued to ensure value for money. The work would also be subject to resident consultation. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Crime and Environment.

### (e) Additional Cleaning Turkey Brook

Project for Enfield Lock Ward for the bi-monthly cleaning of Turkey Brook at a cost of £6,000. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Health and Disability; and, Environment.

# (f) Alley Gate on Bradley Road

Project for Enfield Lock Ward for the provision of an alley gate to provide security for residents at 1,3 and 5 Preston Gardens at a cost of £6,000. The work would be subject to the approval of residents and consultation with Environmental Services on the works to be undertaken. Members noted that the application met the following criteria: Crime and Environment.

# (g) Enfield Island Village "Active Communities"

Project for Enfield Lock Ward for community involvement activities, volunteer and training costs, office running costs, project staff, publicity, engagement and contribution to 1 year community apprentice at a total cost of £38,650. Members noted that the application involved a number of projects for the benefit of the local community. The projects would be subject to regular monitoring to ensure that the proposed outcomes were being achieved. As part of the monitoring process an exit strategy would be considered. The projects were challenging and the Council would require regular feedback on progress. The Council would ensure that all necessary legal requirements were being met. Members noted that the application met all of the stated criteria as follows: Fairness for All; Growth and Sustainability; Strong Communities; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Housing; Crime and Environment.

- 8. in considering the above applications, Members requested that for future alley-gating and similar schemes it would be preferable for the responses/quotations from Environmental Services to be received in advance of consideration of the applications by the Cabinet Sub-Committee:
- 9. Members requested that guidance be provided on how to deal with any multiple ward applications received from one applicant. Officers stated that such applications would require the support of Ward Councillors and would need to have clear benefits for each of the individual ward covered by the application. Appropriate resident consultation would need to be undertaken.

**Alternative Options Considered:** That the projects were not considered and the funding was not allocated, this would not be recommended as this would not support Community engagement and would not allow residents the opportunity to further improve the local area in which they live or work.

**DECISION:** The Cabinet Sub-Committee, following detailed consideration of the applications and the criteria met, agreed the following applications as suitable for funding from the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund:

Ward	Project Title	Amount Requested
Upper Edmonton	Hanlon Centre	£ 11,500
Ponders End	Enfield Bangladeshi Welfare Festival	£9,300
Southbury	Ayley Croft Cycle Parking	£12,912
Enfield Lock	Home Security and Privacy*	£10,000
Enfield Lock	Additional Cleaning Turkey Brook	£6,000
Enfield Lock	Alley Gate on Bradley	£6,000

	Road*			
Enfield Lock	Enfield	Island	Village	£38,650
	"Active Communities"			

\* Alley gates will only be funded from the Enfield Residents Priority Fund if resources are not available in the Environment Department's alley gate budget.

**Reason:** The projects submitted had been proposed and developed by the local people of Enfield, to help improve the social, economic or environmental wellbeing by tackling local need. The projects all support the Council's vision of making Enfield a better place to live and work, delivering fairness for all, growth and sustainability and strong communities.

# 5 DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

#### **AGREED** that

- 1. the next meeting of the Sub-Committee be scheduled to take place on Tuesday 9 August 2011 at 6.30pm;
- 2. future meetings of the Enfield Residents' Priority Fund Cabinet Sub-Committee be scheduled to take place on the following dates at 6.00pm prior to the Strategic Leadership Forum meetings which were taking place on the same evening at 7.00pm:

7 September 2011

19 October 2011

16 November 2011

7 December 2011

11 January 2012

1 February 2012

7 March 2012

18 April 2012

Any meetings not required would be cancelled.